

while the actions of Sinclair may be within the law, this is further proof that the move to de-regulate and allow significant ownership by one broadcasting corporation in a geographic region, moves us closer to totalitarianism. We won a "cold war" only to have idealogues at home replace the communists with their own brand of fundamentalism that does not permit equal, but opposing views to be aired. This must be changed. Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.